CHEK2: CanVIG-UK Gene-Specific Guidance

Date: 24/06/2022 Version: 1.0



A Garrett¹, L Loong¹, S Allen¹, M Durkie², J. Drummond³, G.J. Burghel⁴, R. Robinson⁵, A Callaway^{6,7}, I. Berry⁵, A. Wallace⁴, H. Hanson^{1,8}, C.Turnbull^{1,9}

- 1) Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.
- 2) Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics Service, Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust
- 3) East Anglian Medical Genetics Service, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
- 4) Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine and NW Laboratory Genetics Hub, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK
- 5) Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
- 6) Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury, UK
- 7) Human Genetics and Genomic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
- 8) St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Tooting, London, UK
- 9) The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Fulham Road, London

CanVIG-UK review of *CHEK2* **April 2022:** Consensus to use relevant recommendations from the ClinGen ATM VCEP guidance (attached and also available at: https://clinicalgenome.org/affiliation/50039/) for *CHEK2* variants reported under indication R208 of the UK Genomic Test Directory. This scope of this test indication currently includes truncating variants (defined as: nonsense, frameshift and canonical splice site (+/- 1/2) variants). Additional points of specification are given below. Evidence items in grey are not relevant to truncating variants.

For use in conjunction with CanVIG-UK Consensus Specification for Cancer susceptibility Genes of ACGS Best Practice Guidelines for Variant Classification. Evidence lines for which there are no gene-specific recommendations should be reviewed in context of CanVIG-UK Consensus Specification for Cancer Susceptibility Genes.

Evidence towards Pathogenicity

Evidence element and evidence strengths allowed		Thresholds/data-sources/applications specifically relevant to CHEK2	
PS4: Case-control: The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls	_STR		
PM2: Absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency if recessive) in ESP, 1000GP, or ExAC	_SUP	As per ATM VCEP guidance.	
PVS1: Predicted null variant (in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease)	_VSTR _STR _MOD _SUP	Truncating variants prior to c.1493: use PVS1_vstr (variants up to the last 50bp of the penultimate exon, therefore predicted to undergo nonsense mediated decay)	
		Truncating variants occurring from c.1494 to c.1566: use PVS1_str (not predicted to undergo NMD, truncated/altered region includes nuclear localisation signal and therefore critical to protein function)	
		Truncating variants from c.1567: use PVS1_mod (not predicted to undergo NMD, role of region unknown, variant removes <10% of protein)	
		Truncating variants within the first 100bp: use PVS1_mod	

PS1: Same amino acid change as an established variant		PM5_supp can be used for truncating variants after the first 100bp and prior to c.1493.
PM4: Protein-length-changing variant		PS1/PM1/PM4/PP2 N/A for truncating variants PP3 not to be used in combination with PVS1 so
PM5: Novel missense change at an	_SUP	N/A for truncating variants.
amino acid residue where a different		3
missense change determined to be		
pathogenic seen before		
PP3: In silico: Multiple lines of		
computational evidence support a		
deleterious effect on the gene or gene		
product		
PM1, PP2: Enrichment/constraint:		
PP2 : Missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and		
in which missense variants are a common		
mechanism of disease		
PM1: Located in a mutational hot spot		
and/or critical and well-established		
functional domain (e.g. active site of an		
enzyme) without benign variation		
PS3: Functional: Well-established in vitro	VSTR	No functional assays in CHEK2 assessed by
or in vivo functional studies supportive of a	STR	CanVIG-UK.
damaging effect on the gene or gene	MOD	
product	SUP	
PP1: Co-segregation with disease in	_301	N/A as per ATM VCEP guidance
multiple affected family members in a gene		TVA as per ATW VOLT guidance
definitively known to cause the disease		
dominivory known to cause the discase		
D00/D100 D / / / /		N/4 47/4/05D 11
PS2/PM6: De novo (maternity and		N/A as per <i>ATM</i> VCEP guidance
paternity confirmed/unconfirmed) in a		
patient with the disease and no family		
history		N/A no roccesivo phonotypo
PM3: in trans with a pathogenic variant (recessive disorders)		N/A no recessive phenotype
(recessive disorders)		
DDF. Deputeble course recently regards	01.15	N/A co per ATMA/CED mildons
PP5: Reputable source recently reports	_SUP	N/A as per <i>ATM</i> VCEP guidance
variant as pathogenic, but the evidence is		
not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation		
PP4: Phenotypic specificity (Patient's		N/A as per <i>ATM</i> VCEP guidance
phenotype or family history is highly		IVA as per ATIVI VOEF guidance
specific for a disease with a single genetic		
aetiology)		

Evidence towards Benignity

.vidence towards beinginty				
BA1/BS1: Allele frequency is "too high" in	_SA	As per ATM VCEP guidance		
ExAC or gnomAD for disorder	_STR			
BS2: Observation in controls		N/A as per ATM VCEP guidance		
inconsistent with disease penetrance.				
Observed in a healthy adult individual for a				
recessive (homozygous), dominant				
(heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous)				
disorder, with full penetrance expected at				
an early age				

BP4: In silico: Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product (conservation, evalutionary splicing impact, etc.)	_SUP	N/A for truncating variants
evolutionary, splicing impact, etc.) BP1: Missense variant in a gene for which primarily truncating variants are known to cause disease		N/A for truncating variants
BP7: Synonymous (silent) variant for which splicing prediction algorithms predict no impact to the splice consensus sequence		N/A for truncating variants
BP3: In-frame deletions/insertions in a repetitive region		N/A for truncating variants
BS3: Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing	_STR _MOD _SUP	No functional studies assessed by CanVIG-UK
BS4: Non segregation with disease		N/A as per <i>ATM</i> VCEP guidance
BP2: Observed in trans with a pathogenic variant for a fully penetrant dominant gene/disorder or observed in cis		N/A as per ATM VCEP guidance
BP6: Reputable source recently reports variant as benign, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation		N/A as per ATM VCEP guidance
BP5: Alternate molecular basis for disease		N/A as per ATM VCEP guidance

Version History/Amendments

Revised version	Date	Section	Update	Amended by	Approved by